arXiv:1304.1690v1 [math.CA] 5 Apr 2013

Some generalizations on a Black–Scholes model with transaction costs

Rubén Figueroa and Maria do Rosário Grossinho

1 Introduction

The Black–Scholes equation, introduced in 1973 [2], is a very well–known model arising in financial mathematics. Roughly speaking, it represents the value of an option $V(S,t)$ which depends on time and on an stock price S. The BS model takes the following form:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}+r\left(S\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}-V\right)=0, \tag{1.1}$$

where r is the interest short rate and σ is the volatility of the stock returns.

If we include transaction costs in model (1.1) then we can obtain (see [6], [7]) the following nonlinear version of (1.1):

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}^2 S^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}+b\sigma^2 S^3\left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2}\right)^2 r\left(S\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}-V\right)=0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an adjusted volatility. Now, if we consider the stationary version of (1.2) then we obtain the following ordinary differential equation:

$$S^3V''(S)^2+pS^2V''(S)+q(S\,V'(S)-V(S))=0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $p=\dfrac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{2b\sigma^2}$ and $q=\dfrac{r}{b\sigma^2}$ are constants where the volatility are included.

In [7], the authors studied the existence and localisation of solutions for equation (1.3) in an interval $[c,d]$, $c,d>0$, with Dirichlet conditions, $V(c)=V_c$, $V(d)=V_d$. To do this, they deal with the following equation related to (1.3):

$$V''(x)+H(x,V(x),V'(x))=0, \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$H(x,y,z)=\frac{p(x)x^2-\sqrt{p(x)^2x^4+4x^3q(x)|xz-y|}}{2x^3}.$$

More concretely, they construct a pair of lower and upper solutions for the differential equation (1.4) with Dirichlet conditions in the case $V_c<V_d$ and then they show that solutions of this equation correspond with solutions of (1.3). In the present paper, we generalize those results in the following ways: first, we let the volatility σ to depend on the stock price x, and so we replace constants p,q by two functions $p(x),q(x)$; second, we replace the Dirichlet conditions by functional boundary conditions, which allow us to consider a very large class

of problems involving equation (1.3). So, we deal with the following generalization of Black–Scholes equation with transaction costs:

$$\begin{cases} x^3V''(x)^2 + p(x)x^2V''(x) + q(x)(xV'(x) - V(x)) = 0, \\ B_1(V(c), V) = 0, \quad B_2(V(d), V) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where p, q are nonnegative bounded functions in $[c, d]$ and $B_i : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{C}([c, d]) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$, are functions which satisfy some conditions that we will state later. Under this framework, a large class of boundary conditions are included, namely:

1. Dirichlet conditions: $B_1(V(c), V) = V(c) - V_c, \quad B_2(V(d), V) = V(d) - V_d$,
2. Initial–integral conditions: $B_1(V(c), V) = V(c) - \displaystyle\int_c^d k(x)V(x)\,dx$,
3. Multipoint conditions: $B_2(V(d), V) = V(d) - \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{n} V(x_j)$,

and so on.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we consider problem (1.5) with Dirichlet conditions. So, we start from paper [7] and we generalize it by considering variable volatility and by dropping the condition $V_c < V_d$. In Section 3 we deal with problem (1.5) on its full version, that is, with functional boundary conditions. Namely, we provide a result on the existence of extremal solutions between lower and upper solutions, by using a generalized iteration with Dirichlet problems. Some examples of application are provided too. Inspired by [1] and [7], the trick to obtain solutions for (1.5) is to consider the following related problem:

$$\begin{cases} V''(x) + H(x, V(x), V'(x)) = 0, \\ B_1(V(c), V) = 0, \quad B_2(V(d), V) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$H(x, y, z) = \frac{p(x)x^2 - \sqrt{p(x)^2x^4 + 4x^3q(x)|xz - y|}}{2x^3}.$$

2 Problem with Dirichlet conditions

We begin by considering problem (1.6) with Dirichlet conditions, that is,

$$\begin{cases} V''(x) + H(x, V(x), V'(x)) = 0, \\ V(c) = V_c, \quad V(d) = V_d. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Notice that problem (2.7) is a particular case of (1.6), with

$$B_1(V(c), V) = V(c) - V_c, \quad B_2(V(d), V) = V(d) - V_d.$$

We begin by introducing the concept of lower and upper solutions for this problem. For technical reasons, in Section 3 we will need these functions to have “angles”, and so we use the following weak definition, inspired by the idea of De Coster and Habets [4].

Definition 2.1 *We say that* $\alpha \in AC([c,d])$ *is a lower solution for problem (2.7) if*

$$\alpha(c) \le V_c, \quad \alpha(d) \le V_d,$$

and for each $x_0 \in (c,d)$ *one of the following conditions holds:*

1. $D_-\alpha(x_0) < D^+\alpha(x_0)$*;*
2. *There exists an open interval* J_0 *such that* $x_0 \in J_0 \subset (c,d)$, $\alpha_{|J_0} \in W^{2,1}(J_0)$ *and for almost all* $x \in J_0$ *we have*

$$\alpha''(x) + H(x, \alpha(x), \alpha'(x)) \ge 0.$$

We say that $\beta \in AC([c,d])$ *is an upper solution for problem (2.7) if*

$$\beta(c) \ge V_c, \quad \beta(d) \ge V_d,$$

and for each $x_0 \in (c,d)$ *one of the following conditions holds:*

1. $D^-\beta(x_0) > D_+\beta(x_0)$*;*
2. *There exists an open interval* J_0 *such that* $x_0 \in J_0 \subset (c,d)$, $\beta_{|J_0} \in W^{2,1}(J_0)$ *and for almost all* $x \in J_0$ *we have*

$$\beta''(x) + H(x, \beta(x), \beta'(x)) \le 0.$$

Notice that if α, β are classical $\mathcal{C}^2-$ lower and upper solutions for problem (2.7) (as defined in [7]) then they are also lower and upper solutions in the sense of Definition 2.1.

Proposition 2.1 *The following holds:*

(1) *If* $\dfrac{V_d}{d} \le \dfrac{V_c}{c}$ *then the function*

$$\alpha_1(x) = \frac{V_d}{d}x \tag{2.8}$$

is a lower solution for problem (2.7);

(2) *Take* $k > 0$ *such that*

$$k \ge \sqrt{\frac{Q}{c^3}}\sqrt{\max_{x\in[c,d]}\left|\frac{k}{2}(x^2 - cd) + V_c - \frac{V_d - V_c}{d-c}c\right|}, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$Q = \max_{x\in[c,d]} q(x).$$

Then the function

$$\alpha_2(x) = \frac{k}{2}x^2 + \left(\frac{V_d - V_c}{d-c} - \frac{k}{2}(d+c)\right)x + \frac{k}{2}cd + V_c - \frac{V_d - V_c}{d-c}c \tag{2.10}$$

is a lower solution for problem (2.7);

(3) *The function*

$$\beta(x)=\frac{V_d-V_c}{d-c}x+\frac{dV_c-cV_d}{d-c} \tag{2.11}$$

is an upper solution for problem (2.7).

Proof.

(1) First, notice that $\alpha_1''(x)+H(x,\alpha(x),\alpha'(x)=0$. On the other hand,

$$\alpha_1(c)=\frac{V_d}{d}c\le V_c,\quad \alpha_1(d)=d.$$

(2) First, $\alpha_2(c)=V_c$, $\alpha_2(d)=V_d$. On the other hand, as (see [7, Lemma 1])

$$H(x,\alpha(x),\alpha'(x))\ge-\sqrt{\frac{q(x)}{x^3}}\sqrt{|x\alpha'(x)-\alpha(x)|},$$

we obtain

$$H(x,\alpha(x),\alpha'(x))\ge-\sqrt{\frac{Q}{c^3}}\sqrt{\max_{x\in[c,d]}\left|\frac{k}{2}(x^2-cd)+V_c-\frac{V_d-V_c}{d-c}c\right|}.$$

Then, the choice of $k>0$ implies that

$$\alpha_2''(x)+H(x,\alpha(x),\alpha'(x))\ge 0.$$

Notice that we can choose such a k by virtue of being

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{k}{\sqrt{k}}=+\infty.$$

(3) It's easy to check, taking into account that

$$\beta''(x)+H(x,\beta(x),\beta'(x))=H(x,\beta(x),\beta'(x))\le 0$$

and

$$\beta(c)=V_c,\quad \beta(d)=V_d.$$

□

THEOREM 2.1 *If* $\frac{V_d}{d}\le\frac{V_c}{c}$ *then problem (2.7) has the extremal solutions, that is, the least and the greatest one, inside the interval*

$$[\alpha_1,\beta]=\{V\in\mathcal{C}^2([c,d])\,:\,\alpha_1(x)\le V(x)\le\beta(x)\ \ \textit{for all } x\in[c,d]\}.$$

Moreover, if $k>0$ *satisifies (2.9), then problem (2.7) has the extremal solutions inside the interval*

$$[\alpha_2,\beta]=\{V\in\mathcal{C}^2([c,d])\,:\,\alpha_2(x)\le V(x)\le\beta(x)\ \ \textit{for all } x\in[c,d]\}.$$

Proof.
Put

$$E_1 = \{(x,y,z) \in [c,d] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \, : \, \alpha_1(x) \leq y \leq \beta(x)\},$$

and, if conditions referred above hold,

$$E_2 = \{(x,y,z) \in [c,d] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \, : \, \alpha_2(x) \leq y \leq \beta(x)\}.$$

Thus defined, H is continuous in E_1 and E_2. Moreover, we have that

$$|H(x,y,z)| \leq \frac{p(x)}{x} + \sqrt{\frac{q(x)}{x^3}}\beta(d) + \frac{\sqrt{q(x)}}{x}\sqrt{|z|}$$

for all $(x,y,z) \in E_1$ or $(x,y,z) \in E_2$. Then, there exist

$$\hat{A} = \max_{x\in[c,d]} \frac{p(x)}{x} + \sqrt{\frac{q(x)}{x^3}}\beta(d), \quad \hat{B} = \max_{x\in[c,d]} \frac{\sqrt{q(x)}}{x} \tag{2.12}$$

such that

$$|H(x,y,z)| \leq \hat{A} + \hat{B}\sqrt{|z|} \tag{2.13}$$

for $(x,y,z) \in E_1$ or $(x,y,z) \in E_2$. Then, function H satisfies the Nagumo condition both in E_1 and E_2. Using the fact that α_1, α_2 are lower solutions and β is an upper solution for problem (2.7), the conclusion holds by application of a well–known result (see [3]). □

COROLLARY 2.1 *If $k > 0$ satisifies (2.9), then problem (2.7) has the extremal solutions in the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, where*

$$\alpha(x) = \max\{\alpha_1(x), \alpha_2(x)\}$$

and α_1, α_2, β are provided, respectively, by (2.8), (2.10), (2.11).

THEOREM 2.2 *In the conditions of Theorem 2.1, each solution V of problem (2.7) is convex and satisfies that $xV'(x) - V(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in [c,d]$.*

Proof.
The proof is the same to that done in [7]. Convexity of solutions provides from the fact

$$V''(x) = -H(x, V(x), V'(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in [c,d].$$

On the other hand, the second assertion follows from the fact that function

$$x \in [c,d] \longmapsto xV'(x) - V(x)$$

is nondecreasing and

$$dV'(d) - V(d) \leq d\beta'(d) - V(d) = d\frac{V_d}{d} - V_d = 0.$$

□

THEOREM 2.3 *Consider problem (1.5) with standard Dirichlet conditions, that is,*

$$\begin{cases} x^3V''(x)^2 + p(x)x^2V''(x) + q(x)(xV'(x) - V(x) = 0, \\ V(c) = V_c, \quad V(d) = V_d. \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

(1) *If* $\dfrac{V_d}{d} \leq \dfrac{V_c}{c}$ *then problem (2.14) has the extremal convex solutions in the functional interval* $[\alpha_1, \beta]$, *where* α_1 *and* β *are provided, respectively, by (2.8) and (2.11);*

(2) *If* $k > 0$ *satisfies (2.9) then problem (2.14) has the extremal convex solutions in the functional interval* $[\alpha, \beta]$, *where* $\alpha(x) = \max\{\alpha_1(x), \alpha_2(x)\}$ *and* α_1, α_2, β *are provided, respectively, by (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11);*

(3) *If* $\dfrac{V_d}{d} = \dfrac{V_c}{c}$ *then* α_1 *is a solution of problem (2.14). On the other hand, in the periodic case,* $V_c = V_d$, *the constant function* $V \equiv V_c$ *is a solution of (2.14).*

Proof.
It suffices to check that if $\hat{V}$ is a solution of (2.7) then $\hat{V}$ solves (2.14). Indeed, by virtue of Theorem 2.2, $|x\hat{V}'(x) - \hat{V}(x)| = -(x\hat{V}'(x) - V(x))$, and so

$$\hat{V}''(x) = -H(x, \hat{V}(x), \hat{V}'(x)) = \frac{-p(x)x^2 + \sqrt{p(x)x^4 - 4q(x)x^3(x\hat{V}'(x) - V(x))}}{2x^3}.$$

Then, $\hat{V}$ solves (2.14).
On the other hand, notice that if V is a convex solution of (2.14) then V is a solution of (2.7) too. If this is false then we have

$$V''(x) = \frac{-p(x)x^2 - \sqrt{p(x)x^4 - 4q(x)x^3(x\hat{V}'(x) - V(x))}}{2x^3} \leq 0,$$

and then V must be concave.

To see part (3), notice that in the case $\dfrac{V_d}{d} = \dfrac{V_c}{c}$ we have $\alpha_1(c) = V_c$, $\alpha_1(d) = V_d$ and

$$\alpha_1''(x) = 0 = H(x, \alpha_1(x), \alpha_1'(x)).$$

The periodic case is trivial too. □

The condition $\dfrac{V_d}{d} \leq \dfrac{V_c}{c}$ is essential and it cannot be removed at all, as we show in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2 *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1)
$$\frac{V_d}{d} \leq \frac{V_c}{c};$$

(2) *The function* $\alpha_1(x) = \dfrac{V_d}{d}x$ *is a lower solution for problem (2.7);*

(3) *Each solution of (2.7) is a convex solution of (2.14).*

Proof.
We have already shown that (1) ⇒ (2) ⇒ (3). On the other hand, if V is a solution of (2.7) then V solves (2.14) if and only if $|xV'(x) - V(x)| = -(xV'(x) - V(x))$ for all $x \in [c, d]$. As the mapping $x \in [c, d] \longmapsto xV'(x) - V(x)$ is nondecreasing, that condition is equivalent to $dV'(d) - V(d) \leq 0$, that is, $V'(d) \leq V_d/d$. As V is convex in $[c, d]$ and $\alpha_1(d) = V(d)$

we obtain $\alpha_1(x) \leq V(x)$ for all $x \in [c,d]$. In particular, $\alpha_1(c) \leq V_c$, and so α_1 is a lower solution for problem (2.7).

Finally, if (2) holds then

$$\frac{V_d}{d} c \leq V_c,$$

which implies (1). □

EXAMPLE 2.1 *Consider problem (2.14) in the interval* $[c,d] = [2,6]$, *with*

$$p(x) = 1 + x^3, \quad q(x) = [x],$$

where $[\cdot]$ *denotes integer part, and boundary conditions* $V(2) = 9$, $V(6) = 1$. *Notice that in this case it is* $V_c > V_d$. *Condition (2.9) says that we have to get* $k > 0$ *such that*

$$k \geq \sqrt{\frac{6}{8}} \sqrt{12k + 13},$$

so simple computations show that $k = 12$ *satisfies (2.9). Then, function*

$$\alpha(x) = 6x^2 - 50x + 85$$

is a lower solution for this problem. On the other hand,

$$\beta(x) = 13 - 2x$$

is an upper solution.

Then, by application of Theorem 2.3, problem (2.14) with $p(x) = 1 + x^3$, $q(x) = [x]$, *and boundary conditions* $V(2) = 9$, $V(6) = 1$, *has the extremal solutions in the functional interval*

$$\left[\max \left\{ \frac{1}{6}x, 6x^2 - 50x + 85 \right\}, 13 - 2x \right].$$

3 Problem with functional boundary conditions

In this section we deal with problem (1.6) on its full expression. We begin by extending Definition 2.1 to this case.

DEFINITION 3.1 *We say that* $\alpha \in AC([c,d])$ *is a lower solution for problem (1.6) if*

$$B_1(\alpha(c), \alpha) \leq 0, \quad B_2(\alpha(d), \alpha) \leq 0,$$

and for each $x_0 \in (c,d)$ *one of the following conditions holds:*

1. $D_-\alpha(x_0) < D^+\alpha(x_0)$;
2. *There exists an open interval* J_0 *such that* $x_0 \in J_0 \subset (c,d)$, $\alpha_{|J_0} \in W^{2,1}(J_0)$ *and for almost all* $x \in J_0$ *we have*

$$\alpha''(x) + H(x, \alpha(x), \alpha'(x)) \geq 0.$$

We say that $\beta \in AC([c,d])$ *is an upper solution for problem (1.6) if*

$$B_1(\beta(c),\beta) \geq 0, \quad B_2(\beta(d),\beta) \geq 0,$$

and for each $x_0 \in (c,d)$ *one of the following conditions holds:*

1. $D^-\beta(x_0) > D_+\beta(x_0)$;
2. *There exists an open interval* J_0 *such that* $x_0 \in J_0 \subset (c,d)$, $\beta_{|J_0} \in W^{2,1}(J_0)$ *and for almost all* $x \in J_0$ *we have*

$$\beta''(x) + H(x,\beta(x),\beta'(x)) \leq 0.$$

In the construction of our generalized iterative method, we will use two technical lemmas. First of them is the following generalization of Bolzano's theorem.

Lemma 3.1 **[5, Lemma 2.3]** *Let* $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \leq b$, *and* $h : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *a function satisfying* $h(a) \leq 0 \leq h(b)$ *and*

$$\liminf_{z\to x^-} h(z) \geq h(x) \geq \limsup_{z\to x^+} h(z) \quad \text{for all } x \in [a,b]. \tag{3.15}$$

Then there exist $c_1, c_2 \in [a,b]$ *such that* $h(c_1) = 0 = h(c_2)$ *and if* $h(c) = 0$ *for some* $c \in [a,b]$ *then* $c_1 \leq c \leq c_2$, *that is,* c_1 *and* c_2 *are, respectively, the least zero and the greatest one of* h *in* $[a,b]$.

The second auxiliar result we need deals with the existence of extremal fixed points for nondecreasing operators defined in the space of absolutely continuous functions.

Lemma 3.2 **[8, Proposition 1.4.4]** *Let* $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ *a nonempty closed interval and* $[\alpha,\beta]$ *a nonempty functional interval in* $AC(I)$. *Assume that* $G : [\alpha,\beta] \longrightarrow [\alpha,\beta]$ *is a nondecreasing mapping and that there exists* $\psi \in L^1(I,[0,+\infty))$ *such that*

$$|(G\gamma)'(x)| \leq \psi(x) \text{ for all } \gamma \in [\alpha,\beta] \text{ and almost all } x \in I.$$

Then, G *has in* $[\alpha,\beta]$ *the greatest,* V^*, *and the least,* V_*, *fixed points. Moreover, they satisfy*

$$V_* = \min\{V : GV \leq V\}, \quad V^* = \max\{V : V \leq GV\}. \tag{3.16}$$

Now we establish a new result on the existence of extremal convex solutions for problem (1.6)

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that there exist* $\alpha, \beta \in AC([c,d])$ *which are, respectively, a lower and an upper solution for problem (1.6) satisfying* $\alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$ *for all* $x \in [c,d]$. *Put*

$$[\alpha,\beta] = \{\gamma \in AC([c,d]) : \alpha(x) \leq \gamma(x) \leq \beta(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in [c,d]\},$$

$$E = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \min_{x\in[c,d]} \alpha(x) \leq y \leq \max_{x\in[c,d]} \beta(x)\},$$

and assume, moreover, that the following conditions hold:

(H_1) *For all* $\gamma \in [\alpha,\beta]$ *and all* $y \in E$ *we have*

$$\liminf_{z\to y^-} B_i(z,\gamma) \geq B_i(y,\gamma) \geq \limsup_{z\to y^+} B_i(z,\gamma) \quad (i = 1,2);$$

(H_2) *For all* $y \in E$ *the functions* $B_i(y, \cdot)$ *are nonincreasing in* $[\alpha, \beta]$ $(i = 1, 2)$*, that is, if* $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [\alpha, \beta]$ *are such that* $\gamma_1(x) \le \gamma_2(x)$ *for all* $x \in [c, d]$*, then* $B_i(y, \gamma_1) \ge B_i(y, \gamma_2)$*.*

In these conditions, problem (1.6) has the extremal convex solutions in $[\alpha, \beta]$*.*

Proof.
We define a mapping $G : [\alpha, \beta] \longrightarrow [\alpha, \beta]$ as follows: for all $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $G\gamma$ is the greatest convex solution in $[\alpha, \beta]$ for the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} V''(x) + H(x, V(x), V'(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in [c, d], \\ V(c) = \gamma_c, \quad V(d) = \gamma_d, \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

where γ_c, γ_d are the greatest solutions in, respectively, $[\alpha(c), \beta(c)]$ and $[\alpha(d), \beta(d)]$, for the following respective algebraic equations:

$$B_1(y, \gamma) = 0, \tag{3.18}$$

$$B_2(y, \gamma) = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Step 1*: The mapping* G *is well–defined.* First, by virtue of being α and β a lower and an upper solution for problem (1.6) and by condition (H_2), we have for all $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta]$:

$$B_1(\alpha(c), \gamma) \le B_1(\alpha(c), \alpha) \le 0 \le B_1(\beta(c), \beta) \le B_1(\beta(c), \gamma),$$

$$B_2(\alpha(d), \gamma) \le B_2(\alpha(d), \alpha) \le 0 \le B_2(\beta(d), \beta) \le B_2(\beta(d), \gamma)$$

and so condition (H_1) implies that the numbers γ_c and γ_d are well–defined, by application of Lemma 3.1.

On the other hand, the fact of being γ_c and γ_d the greatest solutions of equations (3.18)–(3.19) implies that $\alpha(c) \le \gamma_c$, $\alpha(d) \le \gamma_d$, $\beta(c) \ge \gamma_c$ and $\beta(d) \ge \gamma_d$. So, α and β are, respectively, a lower and an upper solution for problem (3.17). This guaranties that (3.17) has the greatest convex solution in $[\alpha, \beta]$. (Notice that (2.12)–(2.13) provides a Nagumo–type bound for H between our α and β.)

Step 2*:* G *is a nondecreasing mapping.* Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [\alpha, \beta]$ such that $\gamma_1(x) \le \gamma_2(x)$ for all $x \in [c, d]$ and we will show that $G\gamma_1 \le G\gamma_2$. First, notice that

$$B_1(G\gamma_1(c), \gamma_2) \le B_1(G\gamma_1(c), \gamma_1) = 0$$

and

$$B_1(\beta(c), \gamma_2) \ge B_1(\beta(c), \beta) \ge 0,$$

so reasoning as above we obtain that $G\gamma_1(c) \le G\gamma_2(c)$. In a similar way we prove that $G\gamma_1(d) \le G\gamma_2(d)$. Now, assume that $G\gamma_1 \not\le G\gamma_2$ and consider the function

$$\hat{\alpha}(x) = \max\{G\gamma_1(x), G\gamma_2(x)\}.$$

Thus defined, $\hat{\alpha}$ is a lower solution for problem (3.17) with conditions $V(c) = \gamma_{2c}$, $V(d) = \gamma_{2d}$. Indeed, if $G\gamma_1 < G\gamma_2$ in an interval $(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)$ then

$$\hat{\alpha}''(x) = G\gamma_2''(x) = H(x, G\gamma_2(x), (G\gamma_2)'(x)) = H(x, \hat{\alpha}(x), \hat{\alpha}'(x)) \text{ for all } x \in (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2).$$

In the same way, if $G\gamma_1 > G\gamma_2$ in an interval $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$ then

$$\hat{\alpha}''(x) = H(x, \hat{\alpha}(x), \hat{\alpha}'(x)) \text{ for all } x \in (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2).$$

On the other hand, if $G\gamma_1(x_0) = G\gamma_2(x_0)$ then convexity of $G\gamma_1$ and $G\gamma_2$ implies that $D_-\hat{\alpha}(x_0) < D^+\hat{\alpha}(x_0)$.
So, problem (3.17) with conditions $V(c) = \gamma_{2c}$, $V(d) = \gamma_{2d}$, has a solution in $[\hat{\alpha}, \beta]$, but this contradicts the fact that $G\gamma_2$ is the greatest solution for this problem in $[\alpha, \beta]$. Then, we conclude that $G\gamma_1 \le G\gamma_2$ and so G is a nondecreasing mapping.

Step 3*: G has the extremal fixed points.* Let $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta]$. For all $x \in [c, d]$ we have that

$$(G\gamma)'(x) = (G\gamma)'(c) - \int_c^x H(s, G\gamma(s), G\gamma'(s))\, ds,$$

and so

$$|G\gamma'(x)| \le \max\left\{\frac{|\beta(d) - \alpha(c)|}{d - c}, \frac{|\beta(c) - \alpha(d)|}{d - c}\right\} + \int_c^x |\hat{A} + \hat{B}\sqrt{s}|\, ds,$$

where $\hat{A}$, $\hat{B}$ are as in (2.12).
Then, by application of Lemma 3.2 we obtain that G has the extremal fixed points in $[\alpha, \beta]$, say V^*, V_*, which moreover satisfy (3.16).

Step 4*: V^* is the greatest convex solution of problem (1.6) in* $[\alpha, \beta]$. First, it is clear, as $GV^* = V^*$, that V^* is a solution of problem (1.6). Now, if V is another solution of (1.6) then we have that $V \le GV$ and so (3.16) implies that $V \le V^*$. So, V^* is the greatest convex solution of problem (1.6) in $[\alpha, \beta]$.

To obtain the least convex solution of (1.6) in $[\alpha, \beta]$ we only have to redefine the mapping G in the obvious way. □

REMARK 3.1 *Notice that condition (H_1) is satisfied, for example, if $B_1(\cdot, \gamma)$ is continuous or if it has only downwards discontinuities.*

THEOREM 3.2 *In the conditions of Theorem 3.1, assume moreover that the function*

$$\alpha_1(x) = \frac{V(d)}{d}x, \quad x \in [c, d],$$

is a lower solution for problem (1.6) such that $\alpha \le \alpha_1$. Then problem (1.5) has the extremal convex solutions in $[\alpha_1, \beta]$. If $\alpha \not\le \alpha_1$ but $(H_1) - (H_2)$ are satisfied in $[\alpha_1, \beta]$ then problem (1.5) has the extremal convex solutions in $[\hat{\alpha}, \beta]$, where $\hat{\alpha}(x) = \max\{\alpha(x), \alpha_1(x)\}$.

Proof.
It is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3. □

EXAMPLE 3.1 *Consider problem (1.5) in the interval $[c, d]$ with the following boundary conditions:*

(B_1) *"The initial value of the solution is one half of its mean value on the whole interval $[c, d]$";*

(B_2) *"The final value of the solution has integer part 4";*

Previous conditions can be written in the following form:

(B_1) $B_1(V(c), V) = V(c) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{d-c}\int_c^d V(s)\,ds = 0$*;*

(B_2) $B_2(V(d), V) = -[V(d)] + 4 = 0.$

We will show that for $V(d) \in [4,5)$ *and* $d \geq 3c$, $\alpha(x) = \frac{V(d)}{d}x$ *and* $\beta \equiv V(d)$ *are a lower solution and an upper solution for this problem. Indeed, we have* $-[\alpha(d)] = -4$ *and*

$$\alpha(c) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{d-c}\int_c^d \alpha(s)\,ds = \frac{V(d)}{d}\left(c - \frac{d+c}{4}\right) \leq 0$$

if $d \geq 3c$*. On the other hand, the constant function* $\beta \equiv V(d)$ *is such that*

$$B_1(\beta(c), \beta) = \frac{1}{2}V(d) \geq 0$$

and

$$B_2(\beta(d), \beta) = -[V(d)] + 4 = 0.$$

Finally, notice that for each $V(d) \in [4,5)$*, functions* B_1 *and* B_2 *satisfy conditions* $(H_1)-(H_2)$ *between* α *and* β*. We can conclude, by application of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, that if* $d \geq 3c$ *then problem (1.6) with boundary conditions* $(B_1) - (B_2)$ *has the extremal solutions between* α *and* β *for each* $V(d) \in [4,5)$.

References

[1] P. Amster, C. G. Aberbuj, M. C. Mariani and D. Rial, *A Black–Scholes option pricing model with transaction costs,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **303** (2005) 685–695.

[2] F. Black and M. Scholes, *The pricing of Options and Corporate Lia- bilities,* J. Polit. Econ. **81** (1973).

[3] M. Cherpion, C. De Coster and P. Habets, *Monotone iterative methods for boundary value problems*, Diff. Int. Eqns. **12**, 3 (1999), 309–338.

[4] C. De Coster e P. Habets, “Two–point boundary value problems: lower and upper solutions”, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, **205**, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006.

[5] D. Franco and R. L. Pouso, *Nonresonance conditions and extremal solutions for first–order impulsive problems under weak assumptions*, ANZIAM J. **44** (2003), 3, 393–407.

[6] M. R. Grossinho and E. Morais, *A note on a stationary problem for a Black-Scholes equation with transaction costs,* Int. J. of Pure and Appl. Math., **51** 579–587 (2009).

[7] M. R. Grossinho and E. Morais, *A fully nonlinear problem arising in financial modelling,* Bound. Val. Probl. (2013)

[8] S. Heikkilä and V. Lakshmikantham, “Monotone iterative techniques for discontinuous nonlinear differential equations”, Marcel Dekker, Nova Iorque, 1994.